



VBER – Exclusive Distribution Agreements

16th EU-China Competition Week
Beijing, 15 March 2018

Prof. Dr. Stephan Simon¹

Senior Expert Mergers/
Case Manager
Directorate F- Services
DG Competition

¹ The views expressed are those of the author and cannot be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission
These slides are part of a presentation and cannot be fully understood separately from that presentation. Ideas presented here are intended to promote further discussion and analysis. They may not represent a complete or well-articulated picture of the author's view.

Block Exemptions – Legal framework

- ❑ **Legal basis: Art. 103 TFEU + Council empowerment regulations**
- ❑ **Concept**
 - Block exemption regulations (BER) determine in general terms for certain kinds of agreements under what circumstances these agreements will generally qualify for exemption under Art. 101 para. 3 TFEU.
 - The block exemptions create a more or less safe harbour for companies. As long as they can bring their agreements under the provisions of a block exemption they can be certain that their agreements are valid and do not trigger fines.
 - Oversimplified, the block exemptions are a combination of market share thresholds and the specification of hard core restraints, so called black clauses, which make the entire contract void.
- ❑ **Do not define a particular contractual model or regulate contractual relations between parties**
- ❑ **There is no such thing as breaking the law if BER is not complied with**

Block Exemptions – No more work for us?

➤ **Self-assessment is the rule**

Companies do a self-assessment whether

1) Agreement not caught by Art. 101

2) A BER applies

3) No BER applies but 4 cumulative conditions of 101(3) are fulfilled

➤ **Commission still active, either ex-officio or through a complaint**

Example: Airline Alliances (oneworld, STAR, SkyTeam JVs)

➤ **Art. 10 of Reg. 1/2003: Inapplicability**

Block Exemptions – VBER

➤ Relevant BER for Distribution agreements

- BER 330/2010 Vertical Agreements, plus Guidelines

➤ **Market share threshold of 30%: benefit of BER depends not only on the supplier's market share, but also the buyer's market share:**

- Not only suppliers, but also distributors may have market power (e.g. supermarkets) => coverage by the BER should also depend on buyer's market share
- For supplier: share on the market where supplier sells contract products to the buyer
- For buyer: share on the market where buyer purchases the contract products from the supplier

VBER – Exclusive Distribution

- **Suppliers grants exclusivity to distributor in a particular territory**
 - Exempted if market share of both supplier and distributor does not exceed 30%
 - Can also be combined with single branding
 - Other retailers barred from actively selling in exclusive territory
 - Loss of intra-brand competition

- **Becomes hardcore if combined with passive sales ban**
 - So-called absolute territorial protection (ATP), Article 4 (b)
 - Outside 101(1) for first 2 years if otherwise new entry not possible (Para. 61 Guidelines)

VBER – Dealer Exclusivity

➤ Dealer must only carry suppliers brand

- Also called non- compete obligations, single branding , or exclusive dealing
- Risk for competition: Customer foreclosure of competing brands
- Accepted for up to 5 years if market shares do not exceed 30% (Art. 5 (1)a)
- Up to 80% not considered dealer exclusivity (Art.1 (1) d)
- Single Branding can fall outside the safe haven also if network effects leading to foreclosure (Delimitis-judgment)

Single Branding as an Art. 102 problem

- Obliging/enticing the customer to exclusively source from one supplier against special rebates has led to an array of cases under Art. 102
 - Prokent/Tomra
 - Intel
 - Qualcomm
- In all these cases market share of supplier well beyond 30%